

Kirk Sripinyo

Education

LL.M., Environmental Law, The George Washington University	2013
J.D., University of Kentucky J. David Rosenberg College of Law	2006
• Elected to the Order of the Coif, Kentucky Chapter. • Member of the Moot Court Board and the Kentucky Law Journal.	
B.S., Cellular and Molecular Biology, University of Michigan	1995

Academic Experience

Visiting Assistant Professor of Law, August 2025 to Present
University of Kentucky J. David Rosenberg College of Law
Lexington, KY

- Teach Evidence, Criminal Trial Process, Professional Responsibility, a National Security Law Seminar, and National Security Law.
- Serve as Faculty Advisor for the Parents Attending Law School (PALS) student organization.
- Serve on the working group for development of the College of Law's Master of Legal Studies in Health Law.
- Develop the National Security Law Seminar and National Security Law Course.

Teaching Interests

Primary: Evidence, Criminal Law, Professional Responsibility, National Security Law, Law and Technology (Artificial Intelligence (AI), Cryptography, and Cybersecurity).

Secondary: Criminal Procedure, Appellate Advocacy, Cybersecurity Law, Constitutional Law, Privacy Law.

Scholarship

1. *Algorithmic Conscription*, (Job-Talk Paper)

Mandatory military service is a sensitive, recurring topic that America regularly returns to when global conflicts arise. Although the United States has relied on an all-volunteer force for more than fifty years, the Selective Service System continues to maintain the legal and administrative machinery necessary to classify millions of registrants for military service. Recent advances in artificial intelligence and data analytics could make that system more consistent and less susceptible to the favoritism that has historically undermined public confidence in conscription. Scholars and policymakers have increasingly considered how algorithmic tools might improve governmental decision-making, but little attention has been devoted to their application to military classification. Algorithmic conscription—the use of automated systems to assist in

determining eligibility, deferments, exemptions, and assignments—could help identify irregular decisions, reduce unequal treatment, and make political influence more difficult to conceal. Yet the same technologies could also permit the federal government to combine Selective Service records with administrative databases, commercially purchased data, and information obtained from schools, employers, hospitals, religious organizations, and online platforms. This Article argues that algorithmic systems may legitimately be used to flag anomalous classification decisions for human review but should not be permitted to decide who serves or to identify who the government distrusts.

Presentations and Talks

<i>Algorithmic Conscription</i> National Security Law Workshop, Washington DC	June 25, 2026
<i>From Understanding to Action: Litigating AI Use in Healthcare Fraud and Abuse Regulation and Compliance</i> Minnesota CLE 2025 Health Law Institute, Minneapolis, MN	September 11, 2025
<i>Perspectives from a Former OIG Officer</i> Lathrop GPM Health Law Seminar, Minneapolis, MN	July 24, 2025
<i>Supreme Court Case Law Update</i> Office of the Inspector General, DHHS, Washington, DC	August 16, 2024
<i>Evidence Based Case Management</i> Office of Counsel to the Inspector General, DHHS, Washington, DC	July 24, 2024
<i>Artificial Intelligence Issues</i> Office of Counsel to the Inspector General, Washington, DC	June 24, 2024
<i>Federal Administrative Enforcement: Exclusions and Civil Money Penalties</i> National Institute on Health Care Fraud Conference, Las Vegas, NV	June 24, 2022
<i>CMS/OIG Administrative Enforcement: Case Study/CARES Provider Relief Reporting and Audit Defense</i> American Health Law Association on Medicare and Medicaid Payment Issues, Baltimore, MD	March 24, 2022

Legal Experience

Deputy Branch Chief, Office of Counsel to the Inspector General July 2019 to June 2025
Office of Inspector General (OIG), Department of Health and Human Services (DHHS)
Washington, DC

- Spearheaded the branch effort to address challenges in health care fraud enforcement related to the use of AI tools both in the practice of medicine and the use of automated billing systems.

- Oversaw the drafting and publication of the OIG's Information Blocking rule that was published in June of 2023.
- Briefed the Counsel to the Inspector General and Inspector General on emerging legal issues related to AI.
- Trained the branch attorneys in the basics of generative AI and the legal and ethical issues surrounding the use of generative AI by attorneys.

Counsel, Office of Counsel to the Inspector General
OIG, DHHS
Washington, DC

April 2018 to June 2019

- Investigated and administratively prosecuted health care fraud cases under the civil monetary penalties and exclusion statutes. Negotiated with opposing counsel to reach just resolutions for health care fraud cases.

Partner,
Law Office of Moore and Sripinyo, PLLC
Alexandria, VA

September 2015 to March 2018

- Litigated felony and misdemeanor criminal charges for clients in federal and state courts throughout northern Virginia.
- Represented clients in civil lawsuits in Virginia state court and federal administrative hearings before the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission (EEOC) and the Merit Systems Protection Board (MSPB).

Judge Advocate,
United States Marine Corps

November 2006 to August 2015

- Represented the Department of the Navy in Title VII actions before the EEOC and in appeals before the MSPB.
- Advised the CO of Marine Corps Air Station Miramar regarding compliance with federal environmental laws and regulations.
- Briefed, argued, and won the capital case of *United States v. Parker*, 71 M.J. 594 (N-M. Ct. Crim. App. 2012) in which the death penalty was overturned.
- Orally argued six cases before the Navy appeals court and the Court of Appeals for the Armed Forces.
- Prosecuted civilian crime that occurred on Camp Lejeune in federal district court, handling over fifty cases in two years. Tried two cases in federal district court—an assault with a deadly weapon case in front of a jury and a juvenile larceny case before a judge.